

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 Grant Saxena,

Case No. 2:22-cv-02126-CDS-BNW

5 Plaintiff

Order

6 v.

7 Jezrael Martinez-Hernandez, et al.,

8 Defendants

9
10 On July 13, 2023, plaintiff Grant Saxena moved for an extension of time to file an
11 amended complaint “establishing federal jurisdiction.” *See generally* ECF No. 21. On February 21,
12 2024, I granted Saxena’s request for leave to file an amended complaint to cure the jurisdictional
13 defect in the original complaint. Order, ECF No. 71. Saxena had until March 6, 2024 to do so. *Id.*

14 On March 8, 2024, having found that Saxena neither filed an amended complaint (timely
15 or otherwise) nor announced an intent not to do so, I dismissed this action. ECF No. 78. A
16 review of the court’s docket reveals that the court received Saxena’s motion for leave to amend
17 alongside a proposed amended complaint on March 6, 2024, but the amended complaint was
18 not docketed until after the court issued its dismissal order.¹

19 Accordingly, the court will review Saxena’s motion for leave and the proposed amended
20 complaint (ECF No. 79) in the normal course. Neither party shall file any further pleadings in
21 this case until further order of the court.

22 Dated: March 15, 2024

23 
24 Cristina D. Silva
25 United States District Judge
26

¹ Unbeknownst to the court, Saxena had emailed his proposed amended complaint to the Clerk’s Office on March 6, 2024. ECF No. 79. Due to an unusually long processing time, it was not docketed until March 11, 2024. *Id.*